ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

SALT-INDUCED CHANGES IN GENE EXPRESSION OF TOMATO PLANTS

*Heba Mahmoud Mohammad Abdel-Aziz, Amany Moustafa Saber Kazamel and Shaimaa Mohamed Nagy Tourky

Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Salinity is considered a hindrance for growth and productivity of several economic crops worldwide. In this paper we investigate the effects of different concentrations of NaCl (0, 25, 50 and 100 mM) on growth and gene expression of two tomato cultivars differing in salt tolerance, a salt sensitive variety (GS12) and a salt tolerant cultivar (Adora). Salt stress caused GS12 plants to be affected negatively with significant decrease in all growth parameters; meanwhile Adora plants grew in a comparable pattern to control untreated plants. When differential display polymerase chain reaction was applied to test the difference in gene expression in both cultivars, GS12 plants showed down regulation of some genes while, Adora plants showed up regulation of other genes. We managed to identify a zinc finger protein gene and a nitrite reductase gene from Adora tomato plants which appeared to contribute to the ability of these plants to withstand salt stress and grow in a much similar manner like control untreated plants. These two genes could contribute in further studies of tolerance to other stresses when introduced to other crop plants or other tomato cultivars.

Keywords: salt tolerance, nitrite reductase gene, zinc finger protein, tomato

1. INTRODUCTION

Salt stress is a widespread abiotic stress which limits the production of crops and alters the distribution of plants per region. Researchers try to understand plant response to salt stress by identifying new model plants. Different genes are believed to be involved in salt tolerance of which are those genes which control ion compartments in the cells, ion exit, selectivity of ions and the scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [1, 2].

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

Plants respond to salinity in a complex manner which involves changes in the morphology as well as changes in the biochemistry and physiology of cells [3].

Reduction of growth is the most pronounced morphological symptom of salt stress [4], which is the result of altered changes in ion balance, water state, mineral composition, photosynthetic machinery and membrane instability [5].

Reduction in the yield of pepper and melon was reported as a result of salt stress [6].

Fruit size, total yield and photosynthesis of salt stressed tomato were reduced after excessive salt exposure [7].

Tomato plants are moderately sensitive to salt stress. The presence of accessions of many salttolerant wild tomato plants has proved to make tomato a model crop to compare strategies of salt tolerance. Recent studies focused on the ability to characterize genetic and physiological characteristics of wild tomato species as compared with with tomato cultivars [8].

Researchers proposed a lot of mechanisms to explain the salt tolerance of wild tomato species, such as antioxidative mechanisms, ion exit and distribution, and osmotic regulation. Tal and Shannonn [9] proved that Solanum cheesmanii acts as a halophyte with the power to accumulate Na+ in the aerial parts. But, in another study, the ability of S. cheesmanii to overcome salt stress was attributed to Na+ exclusion from leaves [10].

It is of high importance to identify different responses of plants to abiotic stresses and to analyze genes which improve tolerance to different stresses [11]. Many procedures have been used to analyze the expressed gene which is differentially regulated in response to many abiotic stresses in higher plants. These procedures include differential display screening using differential display polymerase chain reaction (DD-PCR) [12, 13]. The simplicity of DD-PCR and the need to have a relatively small amount of mRNA proved the method to be productive. By using DD-PCR, several stress-responsive genes have been identified [14].

The DD-PCR technique gives a flexible and sensitive way to analyze and identify differentially expressed genes. It is widely used to identify several cDNAs which correspond to genes regulated by heat, salt, drought, sucrose and during developmental stages of plant growth [15].

In this study differential display was used to characterize changes in mRNA that occur in two salt-treated tomato cultivars differing in salt tolerance, one being salt sensitive (GS12) and the other being salt tolerant (Adora), and to identify novel salt-responsive genes.

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant material and growth conditions

This paper includes the results of two large scale experiments which were carried out during the two successive late summer seasons of 2013 and 2014 in the botanical garden of the faculty of Science at Mansoura University, Egypt to investigate the response of two tomato cultivars differing in salt tolerance, a salt sensitive variety "GS12" and a moderately salt-tolerant cultivar "Adora", to different concentrations of NaCl (0, 25, 50 and 100 mM). GS12 seeds were purchased from Syngenta seeds supplier in Egypt while Adora seeds were purchased from Gaara seeds supplier in Egypt. Adora seeds are a hybrid known to be salt tolerant to 20 ppm soil salinity.

Seed sowing was done on August 4th, in 84 cells, foam trays filled with growing media of peat and vermiculite (1:1, v/v) and transplanted into pots containing 6 kg of clay-sandy soil (1:1, w/w) on 8th September on both growing seasons.

Half strength Hoagland solution [16] was used to water seedlings regularly in two days intervals until transplantation. Salinization took place after one week from transplantation; Hoagland nutrient solution containing 25 mM, 50 or 100 mM NaCl were used to water the four groups of plants while control plants were watered as before. Each treatment at each experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design with four replicates; each replicate included five plants (20 plants per treatment). Salinization took place every two weeks until the end of the experiment. Plants were watered with distilled water if needed in between salt treatments. Harvesting and sampling of tomato plants took place after 49 d of salt treatment initiation. Leaves were harvested, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until use.

2.2. Measurement of growth parameters

Growth parameters (root length, shoot length, fresh weight, dry weight and water content) were determined throughout the entire period of the experiment to evaluate the sequence of growth characters of the different treated tomato plants.

2.3. RNA extraction

In liquid nitrogen, frozen leaves were ground to a fine powder. Total RNA for DD-PCR was extracted using the GeneJET Plant RNA Purification Mini Kit (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quantity of total RNA was evaluated by measuring its A260. By using denaturing agarose electrophoresis, the quality of the RNAs was checked.

2.4. DD-PCR

www.ijaer.in

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

DD-PCR [12] was performed using total RNA extracted from the 8th fully expanded leaflets of GS12 and Adora tomatoes collected after 49 d of salt treatment initiation. RNA was extracted as above. Removal of potential chromosomal DNA contamination was done by DNase I (Thermo Scientific) treatment. Reverse transcription reactions were done using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific).

3 µg total RNA was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA by using RevertAid reverse transcriptase (Thermo Scientific) and anchored dT18VN (TVN, V= A, C, or G; N= A, C, T, or G) as a primer in 20 µl reaction according to instructions of the manufacturer. The reaction mixture contained 4 µl of RevertAid 5X Reaction Buffer with MgCl2, 2 µl of 10 mM dNTPs, 1 µl of 10 pmol anchored (dT18VN) primer, 3 µg RNA in 5 µl RNase-free water, 1 µl RevertAid reverse transcriptase, 1 µl RiboLock Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Thermo Scientific) and 6 µl RNase-free water. The reactions were incubated at 42 °C for 60 min. The reverse transcriptase was inhibited by incubation at 72 °C for 5 min and stored at -20 °C till use as template for PCR.

Before starting the RT-PCR a routine PCR with Taq polymerase was run with $1 \Box l$ of template RNA and primers. The negative results indicated that the RNA samples were totally free from DNA and amplified PCR product will be produced from cDNA templates.

The reaction mixture for PCR contained 12.5 μ l of PCR master mix (ThermoScientific), 1 μ l of anchored (dT18VN) primer (10 pmol) and 1 μ l of one of each of the three arbitrary 10-mers, DD1 (5'-AAGCCGAAGC-3'), DD2 (5'-TCAGCACGGA-3'), and DD3 (5'-TGGATTGGTC-3')., 3 μ l cDNA and 1 μ l Taq DNA polymerase (5 units/ μ l) (Fermentas, Lithuania) and made up to a total volume of 25 μ l using nuclease-free water.

Forty cycles of PCR were performed at 95 °C for 30 s, 42°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min. A 5 min denaturation at 95 °C (one cycle) at the beginning of the reaction and a 5 min extension at 72 °C at the end were performed. Plant 18s rRNA primers (forward, 5'-CCAGGTCCAGACATAGTAAG-3'; reverse, 5'-GTACAAAGGGCAGGGACGTA-3' [17] were used as an internal control for normalization.

On 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels, products of the reaction were separated and then stained with ethidium bromide. Bands differently induced in the RNA samples were excised, directly purified after DNA isolation using the GenJET Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific).

2.5. DNA Sequencing.

The selected purified DNA products were then further processed for DNA sequencing in Animal Research Health institute, Giza, Egypt.

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

2.6. Alignments and phylogenetic analysis

Nucleotide sequences were analysed in BLAST 1.0 or 2.0 [18] (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blastn) and aligned in ClustalW [19] (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalW). The nucleotide sequences were checked through Blastx (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov:80?BLAST/) to detect the correct frame for the amino acid translation. The amino acid sequences were aligned in ClustalW.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Growth parameters data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Post Hoc L.S.D. (least significant difference) test. * p value < 0.05 was accepted statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with statistical package for social science for windows (SPSS, version 13.0, 2004, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Changes in growth parameters

Treatment of GS12 and Adora tomato plants with different concentrations of NaCl showed significant decrease in all growth parameters determined, being highly pronounced in the salt sensitive cultivar, GS12.

The values of the different growth parameters appeared to be lower in GS12 plants than in Adora treated plants. The following sequence of treatments for GS12 and Adora (Control > 25 mM NaCl > 50 mM NaCl > 100 mM NaCl) was displayed throughout the entire period of the experiment. From Table 1, it is obvious that GS12 growth was greatly reduced by salt stress at 50 and 100 mM NaCl. Adora plants appeared to tolerate salt stress as compared with control plants with appreciable decrease at 100 mM NaCl.

3.2. Identification of salt responsive genes by DD-PCR

In the present work, the focus was on qualitative differences (i.e., presence or absence of cDNA fragments) rather than quantitative variation in band intensity among samples revealed by DD. Differential display PCR (DD-PCR) was used to compare overall differences in gene expression between GS12 and Adora tomato plants in response to salt stress.

Differential display (DD) was performed to detect changes in tomato leaves under salt-stress conditions. Figure 1 shows amplification products obtained by this approach. On a 1.5% agarose gel, products from the sample total RNA were separated and detector signals were converted into virtual images.

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

Three arbitrary primers were used for DD-PCR amplification. Figure 1 represents the scanning of the three arbitrary primers (DD1, DD2 and DD3). In case of GS12 salt sensitive tomato, with a total of 3 arbitrary primers, of the 11 differential bands displayed on the gel, 6 gene fragments were found to be induced in response to salt stress. Meanwhile, Adora salt tolerant tomato, with a total of 3 arbitrary primers, of the 20 differential bands displayed on the gel, 9 gene fragments were found to be induced in response to salt stress.

For GS12 leaves, selected three arbitrary primers generated 11 bands and the size of amplification products ranged from 300 bp to 1300 bp. The number of bands generated per primer ranged from 2 to 5. Out of 11 bands generated, 4 (36.36%) were polymorphic and 7 (63.63%) were monomorphic, indicating different gene expression (Table 2). For Adora leaves, selected three arbitrary primers generated 20 bands and the size of amplification products ranged from 100 bp to 3000 bp. The number of bands generated per primer ranged from 4 to 9. Out of 20 bands generated, 13 (65%) were polymorphic and 7 (35%) were monomorphic, indicating different gene expression (Table 2). The largest number of differential bands was detected for primer DD2 (13 bands), while the lowest was scored for primer DD3 (6 bands).

In all cases, DD-PCR patterns generated by the salt stressed leaves were clearly different from those obtained using control cDNA. The results obtained from three primers are presented in Figure 1 and Table 2. The principal events observed following the salt stress were presence and absence of cDNA fragments compared with the normal control plants. Also, it was observed the different gene expression in Adora plants compared with GS12 plants in response to salt stress as compared with control plants. The magnitude of response being highly observed in Adora plants treated with 50 mM NaCl.

3.3. Sequencing and identification of cDNA fragments

Two DD-derived cDNA clones from Adora plants treated with 50 mM NaCl were characterized as a partial sequence of the tomato zinc finger protein and nitrite reductase genes by sequence analysis and homology search of GenBank databases.

One up-regulated fragment (Figure 1b) was further characterized. Sequence analysis of this fragment was 483 bp in length and was similar with four Genbank sequences (99% identity with accession no. HG975513.1, 99% identity with NM_001279257.1, 99% identity with AK246932.1 and 99% identity with BT013336.1). The BLAST searches of GenBank databases revealed that it encodes zinc finger protein (ZF2). Therefore, we named the gene zinc finger protein (ZF2) gene.

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

The second up regulated fragment was 399 bp in length and was similar with three Genbank sequences (99% identity with accession no. XM_004248688.2, 99% identity with AC244757.7 and 99% identity with BT014587.1). The BLAST searches of GenBank databases revealed that this encodes plant nitrite reductase gene (NIR). Therefore, we named the gene nitrite reductase gene (NIR).

Blast search revealed that the obtained protein sequence for ZF2 protein (AKP17131) from Adora tomato treated with 50 mM NaCl shares sequence homology with zinc finger proteins from different plants. It shows high sequence similarity to zinc finger protein isolated from Solanum lycopersicum (NP_001266186) (98%) and zinc finger protein isolated from Solanum chacoense (AAU12056) (73%).

Blast search revealed that the obtained protein sequence for NIR protein (AKP17132) from Adora tomato treated with 50 mM NaCl shares sequence homology with nitrite reductase proteins from different plants. It shows high sequence similarity to NIR protein isolated from Solanum lycopersicum (XP_004248736.1) (98%), nitrite reductase protein isolated from Solanum tuberosum (XP_006349625.1) (98%) and nitrite reductase protein isolated from Nicotiana sylvestris (XP_009783559.1) (92%).

4. DISCUSSION

Salt stress is one of the most important limiting factors when growth and development of plants are considered. Worldwide, salinity is found to be a hindrance to the development and productivity of several crops among them tomato plants. Tomato, being moderately sensitive to salt stress, is considered a very important vegetable crop in the world. During the past few years, researchers used to investigate the possible mechanisms of salt tolerance in wild tomato species and the ability of new tomato hybrids to tolerate different salt concentrations in the soil [20].

From the above results, treatment of two tomato cultivars differing in salt tolerance, GS12 (salt sensitive) and Adora (salt tolerant), with different concentrations of NaCl (25, 50 and 100 mM) resulted in significant decrease in all growth parameters determined. The magnitude of response being greatly pronounced in GS12 plants especially under high salt concentrations 50 and 100 mM. Adora plants appeared to tolerate salt stress at 25 and 50 mM but at 100 mM a significant decrease was observed in all growth parameters as compared with control untreated plants.

Similar results were also reported for salt sensitive tomato [21,22] and strawberry [23] which were grown in saline soil. On the other hand, several authors reported that fresh weight and dry mass significantly increased under saline conditions in some horticultural crop species including salt tolerant tomato [24] and cucumber [22].

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

Negative effects of salinity on seed germination and vegetative growth of tomato have been reported. More specifically, root biomass and shoot growth were reduced. Similar reports on salinity stress responses in tomato showed reduced stem and leaf dry weights, leaf area and stem thickness [24].

The ability of Adora tomato plants to tolerate salt stress was further investigated using DD-PCR. While GS12 tomato showed down regulation of several genes, Adora tomato showed up regulation of two genes, namely zinc finger protein (KT236084) and nitrite reductase (KT236085).

Sakamoto et al. [25] showed the early induction of a subset of Arabidopsis Cys2/His2-type zincfinger transcription factors after drought, salinity, or ABA treatment. Mukhopadhyay et al. [26] analyzed OSISAP1 which is a stress inducible gene proposed to encode a zinc-finger protein in rice. In transgenic tobacco, overexpression of this gene showed to increase as a result of stress tolerance, as shown by salinity-, chilling- and drought-tolerance analyses. The retention of green color, fresh weight and leaf development as well as percentage germination were improved in transgenic lines, as compared with wild type tobacco, under stress and recovery conditions.

As shown in our results, the expression of zinc finger protein gene in 50 mM NaCl treated Adora plants, could attribute to the power of the plants to withstand such stress and grow in a comparable manner to that of control untreated plants.

The zinc finger protein has homology to other zinc finger proteins in other plants as mentioned above. Inhibition of apoptosis is accelerated by the zinc-finger domain which is required for dimerization to inhibit the tumor necrosis factor. High-salinity stress leads to inhibition of cell division and acceleration of cell death [27]. Overexpression of zinc finger protein gene can help to avoid stress associated injuries such as chlorophyll loss and apoptosis in transgenic plants and better recovery from stress.

Debouba et al. [28] treated salt-sensitive tomato plants with different concentrations of NaCl (0, 25, 50, and 100 mM). They showed that when salinity increased, a decrease in dry weight production and protein contents in the leaves and roots was pronounced. Under salinity, nitrite reductase activity was decreased in both the leaves and roots. These results are in accordance with our results. In GS12 plants there was down regulation of nitrite reductase gene whereas Adora plants showed up regulation of nitrite reductase gene at 50 mM NaCl. These results show that nitrite reductase plays an important role in salt tolerance.

Yuan et al. [20] showed that over expression of nitrite reductase gene in creeping bentgrass improved salt stress resistance and is associated with increased cell membrane integrity, water

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

retention, capacity for maintaining potassium homeostasis, chlorophyll content, and total N accumulation.

In conclusion, this study has characterized a zinc-finger protein gene and a nitrite reductase gene from salt tolerant tomato cultivar (Adora) which may be used to engineer stress tolerance in other crop plants or other tomato cultivars. It also has not escaped our notice that these two proteins may have functions in other stresses that have been shown to induce their expression.

REFERENCES

[1] Munns R, Tester M. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Ann Rev Plant Biol 2008; 59: 651 – 81.

[2] Zhu JK. Regulation of ion homeostasis under salt stress. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2003; 6: 441 – 5.

[3] Khan MN, Siddiqui MH, Mohammad F, Naeem M, Khan MMA. Calcium chloride and gibberellic acid protect linseed (Linum usitatissimum L.) from NaCl stress by inducing antioxidative defense system and osmoprotectant accumulation. Acta Physiol Plant 2010; 32: 121–32.

[4] Jaleel CA, Lakshmanan GMA, Gomathinayagam M, Panneerselvam R. Triadimefon induced salt stress tolerance in Withania somnifera and its relationship to antioxidant defense system. South Africa J Bot 2008; 74: 126–32.

[5] Yildirim E, Taylor AG, Spittler TD. Ameliorative effects of biological treatments on growth of squash plants under salt stress. Sci Hort 2006; 111: 1–6.

[6] Bustan A, Cohen S, De-Malach Y, Zimmermann P, Golan R, Sagi M, Pasternak D. Effect of timing and duration of brackish irrigation water on fruit yield and quality of late summer melons. Agr Water Manage 2005; 74: 123–34.

[7] Saito T, Fukuda N, Nishimura S. Effects of salinity treatment duration and planting density on size and sugar content of hydroponically grown tomato fruits. J Japan Soc Hort Sci 2006; 75: 392–8.

[8] Sun W, Xu X, Zhu H, Liu A, Liu L, Li J, Hua X. Comparative transcriptomic profiling of a salt-tolerant wild tomato species and a salt-sensitive tomato cultivar. Plant Cell Physiol 2010; 51: 997–1006.

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

[9] Tal M, Shannon MC. Salt tolerance in the wild relatives of the cultivated tomato. Responses of Lycopersicon esculentum, Lycopersicon cheesmanii, Lycopersicon peruvianum, Solanum pennellii and F 1 hybrids to high salinity. Aust J Plant Physiol 1983; 10: 109–17.

[10] Rajasekaran LR, Aspinall D, Paleg LG. Physiological mechanism of tolerance of Lycopersicon spp. exposed to salt stress. Canad J Plant Sci 2000; 80: 151 – 9.

[11] Srivastava S, Rahman MH, Shah S, Kav NNV. Constitutive expression of the pea ABA-responsive 17 (ABR17) cDNA confers multiple stress tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Biotech J 2006; 4: 529–49.

[12] Liang P, Pardee AB. Differential display of eukaryotic mRNAs by means of polymerase chain reaction. Science 1992; 257: 967–71.

[13] Markovskaya EF, Sysoeva MI, Sherudilo EG, Topchieva LV. Differential gene expression in cucumber plants in response to brief daily cold treatments. Russ J Plant Physiol 2007; 54: 607–11.

[14] Yamazaki M, Saito K. Differential display analysis of gene expression in plants. Cell Molecul Life Sci 2002; 59: 1246 – 55.

[15] Wei J-Z, Tirajoh A, Effendy J, Plant AL. Characterization of salt-induced changes in gene expression in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) roots and the role played by abscisic acid. Plant Sci 2000; 159: 135–48.

[16] Hershey DR. Hydroponics in plant biology projects. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA; 1995.

[17] Duval FD, Renard M, Jaquinod M, Biou V, Montrichard F, Macherel D. Differential expression and functional analysis of three calmodulin isoforms in germinating pea (Pisum sativum L.) seeds. Plant J 2002; 32: 481–93.

[18] Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSIBLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 1997; 25: 3389 – 402.

[19] Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTALW: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positions-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 1994; 22: 4673– 80.

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

[20] Yuan S, Li Z, Li D, Yuan N, Hu Q, Luo H. Constitutive expression of Osa-miR528 alters plant development and enhances tolerance to salinity stress and nitrogen starvation in creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera L.). Plant Physiol 2015; 169:576-93.

[21] Saeed R, Ahmad R. Vegetative growth of tomato as affected by the application of organic mulch and gypsum under saline rhizosphere. Pak J Bot 2009; 41: 3093–105.

[22] Ali HEM, Ismail GSM. Tomato fruit quality as influenced by salinity and nitric oxide. Turk J Bot 2014; 38: 122–9.

[23] Khayyat M, Tafazoli E, Eshghi S, Rahemi M, Rajaee S. Salinity, supplementary calcium and potassium effects on fruit yield and quality of strawberry (Fragaria ananassa Duch.). Am-Eur J Agri Environ Sci 2007; 2: 539–44.

[24] Gautier H, Lopez-Lauri F, Massot C, Murshed R, Marty I, Grasselly D, Keller C, Sallanon H, Genard M. Impact of ripening and salinity on tomato fruit ascorbate content and enzymatic activities related to ascorbate recycling. Funct Plant Sci Biotech 2010; 4: 66–75.

[25] Sakamoto H, Maruyama K, Sakuma Y, Meshi T, Iwabuchi M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Arabidopsis Cys2/His2-type zinc-finger proteins function as transcription repressors under drought, cold, and high-salinity stress conditions. Plant Physiol 2004; 136: 2734–2746.

[26] Mukhopadhyay A, Vij S, Tyagi AK. Overexpression of a zinc-finger protein gene from rice confers tolerance to cold, dehydration, and salt stress in transgenic tobacco. Proceed Nat Acad Sci 2004; 101: 6309–14.

[27] Qin L-X, Li Y, Li DD, Xu W-L, Zheng Y, Li X-B. Arabidopsis drought-induced protein Di19-3 participates in plant response to drought and high salinity stresses. Plant Molecul Biol 2014; 86: 609–25.

[28] Debouba M, Gouia H, Suzuki A, Ghorbel MH. NaCl stress effects on enzymes involved in nitrogen assimilation pathway in tomato "Lycopersicon esculentum" seedlings. J Plant Physiol 2006; 163: 1247–58.

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"



Figure 1: Representative 1.5% agarose gels of differential display patterns generated from GS12 and Adora samples. a: differential display using primer DD1, b: differential display using primer DD2, c: differential display using primer DD3. Lane numbers: C=control, 1=25 mM NaCl, 2=50 mM NaCl and 3= 100 mM NaCl. White arrows indicate the bands which were excised from gel and sequenced.

www.ijaer.in

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

Table 1: The effect of different concentrations of NaCl on growth parameters of salt sensitive GS12 and salt tolerant Adoratomato plants grown on clay-sandy soil. * Mean values are significantly different from control at $p \le 0.05$.

parameters			Dest			Poot			Poot			
		Shoot length (cm)	length (cm)	Shoot diameter (cm)	Shoot fresh wt.(g)	fresh wt.(g)	Whole plant fresh wt. (g)	Shoot dry wt.(g)	dry wt.(g)	Whole plant dry wt. (g)	Whole plant water content (g)	
Treatments												
GS12	Control	62.75	50.50	0.719	66.25	37.50	103.75	20.59	20.09	40.68	63.07	
	25 mM NaCl	53.80*	43.20*	0.695*	63.00*	30.00*	93.00*	15.26*	16.32*	31.58*	61.42*	
	50 mM NaCl	50.00*	42.00*	0.683*	60.33*	23.33*	83.66*	14.95*	14.90*	29.85*	53.81*	
	100 mM NaCl	47.80*	40.55*	0.668*	56.55*	21.67*	78.22*	9.82*	10.54*	20.36*	57.86*	
	Control	63.35	52.80	0.785	72.50	38.10	110.60	28.69	21.68	50.37	60.23	
Adora	25 mM NaCl	62.50*	52.00*	0.785	70.00*	38.05*	108.05*	27.95*	20.78*	48.73*	59.32*	
	50 mM NaCl	62.10*	50.30*	0.729*	69.05*	36.94*	105.99*	24.91*	18.59*	43.5*	62.49*	
	100 mM NaCl	60.56*	49.70*	0.701*	66.04*	33.55*	99.59*	20.26*	14.04*	34.3*	65.29*	

www.ijaer.in

ISSN: 2455-6939

Volume:03, Issue:02 "March-April 2017"

Table 2: Total number of differential bands and number of polymorphic bands generatedby differential display using three arbitrary primers

			GS12						Adora					
	Primer		Number of cDNA fragments (bands)			Polymorph ism	Mol . Wt ran	Number of cDNA fragments (bands)			Polymorph ism	Mol . Wt ran		
	Na me	Sequence (5'-3')	Tot al	monomor phic	polymorp hic	(%)	ge (bp)	Tot al	monomor phic	polymorp hic	(%)	ge (bp)		
1	DD1	AAGCCGA AGC	5	3	2	40	130 0- 100	7	2	5	71.43	130 0- 100		
2	DD2	TCAGCACG GA	4	2	2	50	900- 500	9	4	5	55.56	300 0- 300		
3	DD3	TGGATTGG TC	2	2	-	0	500- 300	4	1	3	75	130 0- 500		
Total		11	7	4	36.36		20	7	13	65				